arXiv:1604.01182v3 [nlin.SI] 14 Apr 2016

Solution of the initial value problem for the focusing Davey-Stewartson II system

Evgeny Lakshtanov* Boris Vainberg†

Abstract

We consider a focusing Davey-Stewartson system and construct the solution of the Cauchy problem in the possible presence of exceptional points (and/or curves).

Key words: Davey-Stewartson, $\overline{\partial}$-method, Dirac equation, exceptional point, scattering data, inverse problem.

1 Introduction

Let $q_0(z),\ z=x+iy,\ (x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$, be a compactly sufficiently smooth function. Consider the DSII system of equations for unknown functions $q=q(z,t),\ \phi=\phi(z,t),\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^2,\ t\geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= 2iq_{xy}-4i\phi q,\\ \phi_{xx}+\phi_{yy} &= \pm 4|q|^2_{xy},\\ q(z,0) &= q_0(z). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The sign plus in (1) corresponds to the defocusing case of DSII and sign minus corresponds to the focusing case. Even though the defocusing case is well studied, we will consider both models together to stress the universality of our approach.

The Davey-Stewartson system of equations models the shallow-water limit of the evolution of weakly nonlinear water waves that travel predominantly in one direction, but in which the wave amplitude is modulated slowly in two horizontal directions [7]. The shallow-water limit means that $kh\to 0$, $a\ll kh^2$, where h denotes the depth of the bed, k is a wave number of a wavy surface and a is a characteristic amplitude of the disturbance.

*Department of Mathematics, Aveiro University, Aveiro 3810, Portugal. This work was supported by Portuguese funds through the CIDMA - Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology ("FCT–Fundção para a Ciência e a Tecnologia"), within project UID/MAT/0416/2013 (lakshtanov@ua.pt)

†Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA. The work was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1410547 (brvainbe@uncc.edu).

Independently, Ablowitz and Haberman [1], Morris [13], and Cornille [6] have derived (1) while looking for completely integrable systems generalizing the nonlinear Schrödinger equation to two spatial dimensions.

In [9], [10], one can find results on global uniqueness and existence of solutions of (1) in the defocusing case and for small initial data in the focusing case, as well as a justification of local in time well-posedness for arbitrary data in both cases.

Constructions of solutions of initial value problem (1) via the IST (inverse scatteing transform) in the defocusing case or when initial data are small enough are given in [3], [4], [16]-[18], [15]. These works used the $\overline{\partial}$-method for the Dirac inverse scattering problem. The classical $\overline{\partial}$-method fails when exceptional points are present. The latter are defined as the values of the spectral parameter k for which the homogeneous direct scattering problem has a non-trivial solutions. In [11], [12], we generalized the $\overline{\partial}$-method for the Schrödinger and Dirac equations to the case when exceptional points exist. A prototype of this generalization was considered in section 8 of [14]. In the current paper, we apply the results of [12] to solve the Cauchy problem for the focusing DSII.

We will work with equation (1) rewritten in the following form (see, for example, [8]):

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= 2iq_{xy} \pm 4q(\overline{\varphi} - \varphi), \\ \partial\varphi &= \overline{\partial}|q|^2, \\ q(z,0) &= q_0(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In order to obtain (1) from (2), one needs to introduce $\phi = i(\overline{\varphi} - \varphi)$, apply the Laplacian to ϕ, and use that $\partial\overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial}\partial$.

2 The main result

Denote

$$Q^0(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_0(z) \\ \pm q_0(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3}$$

Consider the Dirac equation for the 2×2 matrix $\psi(\cdot, k),\ k \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\overline{z}} = Q^0\overline{\psi}, \quad \psi(z,k)e^{-i\overline{k}z/2} \to I, \quad z \to \infty. \tag{4}$$

The corresponding Lippmann-Schwinger equation has the following form

$$\psi(z,k) = e^{i\overline{k}z/2}I + \int_{z\in\mathbb{R}^2} G(z-z',k)Q^0(z')\overline{\psi}(z',k)dz', \quad G(z,k) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{e^{i\overline{k}z/2}}{z}. \tag{5}$$

Let $q_0(z) \in L^p_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^2),\ p > 2$. Here and below we use the same notation for functional spaces, irrespectively of whether those are the spaces of matrix-valued or scalar-valued

functions. Equation (5) becomes Fredholm in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^2),\ q > \frac{2p}{p-2}$, after the substitution, see [5, Th.A. iii]:

$$\mu(z,k) = \psi(z,k)e^{-i\overline{k}z/2}. \tag{6}$$

Solutions ψ of (5) are called the *scattering solutions*, and the values of k such that the homogeneous equation (5) rewritten in terms of μ has a non-trivial solution are called *exceptional points*. The set of exceptional points will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}$. Note that the operator in equation (5) is not analytic in k, and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ may contain one-dimensional components. Thus the scattering solution may not exist if $k \in \mathcal{E}$. There are no exceptional points when $|k|$ is large enough (e.g., [16, lemma 2.8], [5, lemma C]). Let us choose $A \gg 1$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that all the exceptional points are contained in the disk

$$D = \{k \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \le |k| < A\}, \tag{7}$$

and that k_0 belongs to the same disc D and is not exceptional.

The generalized scattering data are defined by the following integral

$$h_0(\varsigma,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{R^2} e^{-i\overline{\varsigma}z/2}Q^0(z)\overline{\psi}(z,k)dxdy,\ \varsigma \in \mathbb{C},\ k \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathcal{E}. \tag{8}$$

In fact, from the Green formula it follows that h_0 can be determined without using the potential Q^0 or the solution ψ of the Dirac equation (4) if the Dirichlet data at $\partial\Omega$ are known for the solution of (4) in a bounded region Ω containing the support of Q^0.

The inverse scattering problem of reconstructing the potential Q^0 via the given h_0 plays a crucial point in the present paper. This inverse problem has been solved in [4, 16] for symmetric or small antisymmetric Q^0 using the $\overline{\partial}$-method. With larger potentials, exceptional points appear, and the $\overline{\partial}$-method must be replaced [11, 12] by a combination of $\overline{\partial}$ and the Riemann-Hilbert methods (which we called the global Riemann-Hilbert problem). For the reconstruction procedure, we consider the space

$$\mathcal{H}^s = \left\{u \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^2)\bigcap C(D)\right\},\quad s > 2,$$

(recall that we use the same notation for matrices if their entries belong to $\mathcal{H}^s$) and the operator

$$T_z\phi(k) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\backslash D} e^{i(\overline{\varsigma}z+\overline{z}\varsigma)/2}\overline{\phi}(\varsigma)\Pi^o h_0(\varsigma,\varsigma)\frac{d\varsigma_R d\varsigma_I}{\varsigma-k}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D}\frac{d\varsigma}{\varsigma-k}\int_{\partial D}[e^{i/2(\varsigma\overline{z}+\overline{\varsigma'}z)}\overline{\phi^-(\varsigma')}\Pi^o + e^{i/2(\varsigma-\varsigma')\overline{z}}\phi^-(\varsigma')\Pi^d\mathbf{C}]\left[\mathrm{Ln}\frac{\overline{\varsigma'}-\overline{\varsigma}}{\varsigma'-\overline{k_0}}h_0(\varsigma',\varsigma)d\varsigma'\right], \tag{9}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C},\ \phi \in \mathcal{H}^s,\ \phi^-$ is the boundary trace of ϕ from the interior of D, $\mathbf{C}$ is the operator of complex conjugation, $\Pi^o M$ is the off-diagonal part of a matrix M, $\Pi^d M$ is the diagonal part. The logarithmic function here is well defined, see the Remark after Lemma 3.4 in [12].

It turns out that, after the substitution $w = v - I \in \mathcal{H}^s,\ s > 2$, the equation

$$(I + T_z)v = I$$

becomes Fredholm in $\mathcal{H}^s$, and the potential q_0 can be expressed explicitly in terms of v (see [11, 12]).

In order to solve the DSII problem (2), we apply this reconstruction procedure to specially chosen scattering data. We start with the generalized scattering data defined by q_0 and extend them in time as follows:

$$h(\varsigma', \varsigma, t) := e^{-t(\varsigma^2 - \overline{\varsigma'}^2)/2}\Pi^o h_0(\varsigma', \varsigma) + e^{-t(\overline{\varsigma}^2 - \overline{\varsigma'}^2)/2}\Pi^d h_0(\varsigma', \varsigma),\ \varsigma' \in \mathbb{C},\ \varsigma \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathcal{E},\ t \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

For $t \geq 0$, we define the operator

$$T_{z,t}\phi(k) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\backslash D} e^{i(\overline{\varsigma}z + \overline{z}\varsigma)/2}\overline{\phi}(\varsigma)\Pi^o h(\varsigma, \varsigma, t)\frac{d\varsigma_R d\varsigma_I}{\varsigma - k}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D}\frac{d\varsigma}{\varsigma - k}\int_{\partial D}[e^{i/2(\varsigma\overline{z} + \overline{\varsigma'}z)}\overline{\phi^-(\varsigma')}\Pi^o + e^{i/2(\varsigma - \varsigma')\overline{z}}\phi^-(\varsigma')\Pi^d\mathbf{C}]\left[\mathrm{Ln}\frac{\overline{\varsigma'} - \overline{\varsigma}}{\overline{\varsigma'} - \overline{k_0}}h(\varsigma', \varsigma, t)d\varsigma'\right]. \quad (11)$$

We will show that the solution $(q(z,t), \varphi(z,t))$ of DSII is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(z,t) & q(z,t) \\ \pm q(z,t) & \varphi(z,t) \end{pmatrix} := \frac{-i}{2}(\Pi^o + \overline{\partial}\Pi^d)\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\backslash D} e^{i(\overline{\varsigma}z + \overline{z}\varsigma)/2}\overline{v_{z,t}}(\varsigma)\Pi^o h_0(\varsigma, \varsigma, t)d\varsigma_R d\varsigma_I\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D}d\varsigma\int_{\partial D}[e^{i/2(\varsigma\overline{z} + \overline{\varsigma'}z)}\overline{v^-_{z,t}(\varsigma')}\Pi^o - e^{i/2(\varsigma - \varsigma')\overline{z}}v^-_{z,t}(\varsigma')\Pi^d\mathbf{C}]\left[\mathrm{Ln}\frac{\overline{\varsigma'} - \overline{\varsigma}}{\overline{\varsigma'} - \overline{k_0}}h_0(\varsigma', \varsigma, t)d\varsigma'\right]\right), \quad (12)$$

where function $v_{z,t}$ is the solution of the integral equation

$$(I + T_{z,t})v_{z,t} = I, \quad (13)$$

which becomes Fredholm in the space $\mathcal{H}^s,\ s > 2$, after the substitution $w_{z,t} = v_{z,t} - I$.

Note that the potential q_0 can be recovered from v only at those points z where the kernel of the Fredholm operator $I + T_z$ in the space $\mathcal{H}^s,\ s > 2$, is trivial. Thus we will make the following assumption.

Assumption. *The kernel of $I + T_z$ in the space $\mathcal{H}^s,\ s > 2$, is trivial for a.e. $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

This assumption is not very restrictive, since the points where the kernel is not trivial are given by the equation $\Phi(z) = 0$, where Φ is the so called modified Fredholm determinant of the operator T_z. Under the assumption above, we will show that

$$q(z, 0) = q_0(z),\ \text{a.e. } z \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (14)$$

Since solution (12) of problem (2) will be found for z, t such that the kernel of $I + T_{z,t}$ in the space $\mathcal{H}^s,\ s > 2$, is trivial, the possible blow-up points belong to the set where the kernel of the Fredholm operator $I + T_{z,t}$ is not trivial.

We need a couple of definitions before we state the main result.

We'll use the word *generic* when referring to elements that belong to an open, dense subset V of a topological space S. Let $W^{n,\infty}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the space of compactly supported functions with bounded derivatives of orders $j \le n$. We fix a bounded domain $\mathcal{O}$. Let S_n be the space of functions from $W^{n,\infty}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with the support in $\mathcal{O}$.

We will say that a set ω of points (z,t) in $\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \mathbb{R}^3 \bigcap \{t \ge 0\}$ is half-open if ω contains points where $t = 0$ and, for each point $(z_0, 0) \in \omega$, there is a ball B_0 centered at this point such that $B_0 \bigcap \{t \ge 0\} \subset \omega$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $q_0(z) \in S_6$. Then, for each $s > 2$, the following statements are valid.*

- *The operator $T_{z,t}$ is compact in $\mathcal{H}^s$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C},\ t \ge 0$, and depends continuously on z and $t \ge 0$. The same property holds for its first derivative in time and all the spacial derivative up to the third order, where the derivatives are defined in the norm convergence. The function $T_{z,t}I$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^s$ for all $t \ge 0$.*

- *Let the kernel of $I + T_{z,t}$ in the space $\mathcal{H}^s$ be trivial for (z,t) in an open or half open set $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3_+ = \mathbb{R}^3 \bigcap \{t \ge 0\}$. Let $v_{z,t} = w_{z,t} + I$, where $w_{z,t} \in \mathcal{H}^s$ is the solution of the equation*

$$(I + T_{z,t})w_{z,t} = -T_{z,t}I. \tag{15}$$

  Then functions the q, φ defined in (12) satisfy all the relations (2) in the classical sense when $(z,t) \in \omega$.

- *Let us fix $z_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Then for generic potential $q_0(z)$ in S_6, equation (15) is uniquely solvable in $\mathcal{H}^s$ for all (z,t) in some neighborhood of (z_0, t_0). The neighborhood may depend on q_0.*

- *Consider a set of initial data $aq_0(z)$ that depend on $a \in (0,1]$. Then equation (15) with Q^0 replaced by aQ^0 (Q^0 is fixed) is uniquely solvable for almost every $(z,t,a) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0,1]$. For each (z,t), the unique solvability can be violated for at most finitely many values of $a = a_j(z,t),\ z,t \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^+$.*

Remark The second statement immediately implies (14).

The proof consists of 3 parts. In section 3, we show (Lemma 3.1) that the reconstruction procedure of the inverse scattering problem can be applied to an arbitrary regular and fast decreasing matrix-function h, which is not necessarily a scattering data h_0 of some potential Q^0. This Lemma allows us to consider scattering data h defined by (10) for all $t \ge 0$, and construct operator $T_{z,t}$ and the solution $v_{z,t}$ of the equation (13). As a result, one gets a potential Q^t (which is not necessarily compactly supported) and a function ψ, which are defined in terms of $v_{z,t}$ for all $(z,t) \in \omega$ and are related by the Dirac equation $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \overline{z}} = Q^t \overline{\psi}$.

In section 4, we demonstrate that the solution $v_{z,t}$ of equation (13) satisfies the compatibility conditions. And, finally, in section 5, we repeat well-know arguments showing that the compatibility condition implies the first equation in the DSII problem (2), and complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Inverse problems for general scattering data

Consider a 2×2 matrix-function h and a scalar function w that satisfy the following condition.

$$\textbf{Condition}.\ 1)\ h(\varsigma,\varsigma) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}\backslash D) \text{ and } h(\varsigma',\varsigma) \in L^\infty(\partial D) \times L^\infty(\partial D)\ ,$$
$$2)\ \ |\varsigma|^3 \Pi^o h(\varsigma,\varsigma) \in L^1(\mathbb{C}\backslash D)\ ,$$
$$3)\ |w(\varsigma',\varsigma)| \le C\,|Ln|\varsigma-\varsigma'||\,,\ \varsigma',\varsigma \in \partial D. \tag{16}$$

The results of this sections are valid for slightly more general operators than those defined in (9). Namely, consider the following operator T_z acting in the space $\mathcal{H}^s,\ s>2$:

$$T_z\phi(k) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\backslash D} e^{i(\overline{\varsigma}z+\overline{z}\varsigma)/2}\overline{\phi}(\varsigma)\Pi^o h(\varsigma,\varsigma)\frac{d\varsigma_R d\varsigma_I}{\varsigma-k}$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D}\frac{d\varsigma}{\varsigma-k}\int_{\partial D}[e^{i/2(\varsigma\overline{z}+\overline{\varsigma'}z)}\overline{\phi^-(\varsigma')}\Pi^o + e^{i/2(\varsigma-\varsigma')\overline{z}}\phi^-(\varsigma')\Pi^d\mathbf{C}]\,[w(\varsigma',\varsigma)h(\varsigma',\varsigma)d\varsigma']\,, \tag{17}$$

where ϕ^- is the boundary trace of ϕ from the interior of D, $\mathbf{C}$ is the operator of complex conjugation. $\Pi^o M = M^o$ is the off-diagonal part of a matrix M and $\Pi^d M = M^d$ is the diagonal part.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (16) hold and z be such that the kernel of $I+T_z$ is trivial. Let $v = v(z,\cdot)$ be the unique solution of*

$$(I+T_z)v = I \tag{18}$$

such that $w = v - I \in \mathcal{H}^s,\ s>2$, and let

$$Q := \frac{1}{2i}\Pi^o\mathcal{C}v,\ \textit{where}\ \mathcal{C}v := \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\backslash D} e^{i(\overline{\varsigma}z+\overline{z}\varsigma)/2}\overline{v}(\varsigma)\Pi^o h(\varsigma,\varsigma)d\varsigma_R d\varsigma_I$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D} d\varsigma\int_{\partial D}[e^{i(\varsigma\overline{z}+\overline{\varsigma'}z)/2}\overline{v^-(\varsigma')}\Pi^o + e^{i(\varsigma-\varsigma')\overline{z}/2}v^-(\varsigma')\Pi^d\mathbf{C}]\,[w(\varsigma',\varsigma)h(\varsigma',\varsigma)d\varsigma']\,. \tag{19}$$

Then

$$\overline{\partial}\psi = Q\overline{\psi},\quad \psi := \Pi^d\overline{v}e^{i\overline{k}z/2} + e^{-i\overline{z}k/2}\Pi^o v, \tag{20}$$

and

$$\partial\Phi = \overline{\partial}(\overline{Q}Q),\ \textit{where}\ \Phi = \frac{1}{2i}\overline{\partial}\Pi^d\mathcal{C}v. \tag{21}$$

Remark. Note that

$$\mathcal{C}v = \lim_{k\to\infty} k(v-I),\quad Q = \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{-ik}{2}\Pi^o v. \tag{22}$$

Relations (22) can be easily checked if one replaces $v-I$ and $\Pi^o v$ from (18) by $-T_z v$ and $-\Pi^o T_z v$, respectively.

Proof. It is shown in Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 of [12] that if h is bounded and $h(k,k) \in L^{2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then the operator T_z is compact in $\mathcal{H}^s$ for each $s > \frac{4}{\varepsilon} - 2$ and $T_z I \in \mathcal{H}^s$. We request that $|\varsigma|^3 \Pi^o h(\varsigma,\varsigma) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to guarantee that $\partial^\alpha \overline{\partial}^\beta T_z, \alpha+\beta \le 3$, is compact in $\mathcal{H}^s$ and $\partial^\alpha \overline{\partial}^\beta T_z I \in \mathcal{H}^s$ for each $s > 2$.

The operator T_z can be naturally split into two terms: $T_z = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ involves integration over $\mathbb{C}\backslash D$ and $\mathcal{D}$ involves integration over ∂D. Thus, $T_z\phi = \mathcal{M}\overline{\phi} + \Pi^o \mathcal{D}\overline{\phi} + \Pi^d \mathcal{D}\phi$. The entries $M^{ij},\ D^{ij},\ i,j = 1,2$, of the matrix operators $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are

$$M^{12}\phi = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\backslash D} \frac{e^{i\Re(\overline{\alpha}z)}\phi(\alpha)h_{12}(\alpha,\alpha)}{\alpha - k} d\alpha_\Re d\alpha_\Im,$$

$$M^{21}\phi = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\backslash D} \frac{e^{i\Re(\overline{\alpha}z)}\phi(\alpha)h_{21}(\alpha,\alpha)}{\alpha - k} d\alpha_\Re d\alpha_\Im, \tag{23}$$

$$D^{jj}\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D}\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - k}\int_{\partial D} \overline{w(\varsigma,\varsigma')h_{jj}(\varsigma',\varsigma)} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma - \varsigma')\overline{z}}\phi(\varsigma')\overline{d\zeta'}, \quad j = 1,2,$$

$$D^{ij}\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D}\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - k}\int_{\partial D} w(\varsigma,\varsigma')h_{ij}(\varsigma',\varsigma) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma\overline{z} + \overline{\varsigma'}z)}\phi(\varsigma')d\zeta', \quad i \ne j. \tag{24}$$

We rewrite the matrix equation (18) as four equations for its components. The first row of the matrix equation is equivalent to

$$(I + D^{11})v_{11} + (M^{21} + D^{21})\overline{v_{12}} = 1, \tag{25}$$

$$(I + D^{22})v_{12} + (M^{12} + D^{12})\overline{v_{11}} = 0. \tag{26}$$

The second row of (18) leads to similar equations for v_{22}, v_{21}. This system of equations can be rewritten as the following four independent equations:

$$(I + D^{11})v_{11} - (M^{21} + D^{21})(I + \overline{D^{22}})^{-1}(\overline{M^{12}} + \overline{D^{12}})v_{11} = 1. \tag{27}$$

$$(I + \overline{D^{22}})\overline{v_{12}} - (\overline{M^{12}} + \overline{D^{12}})(I + D^{11})^{-1}[(M^{21} + D^{21})\overline{v_{12}} - 1] = 0. \tag{28}$$

$$(I + D^{22})v_{22} - (M^{12} + D^{12})(I + \overline{D^{11}})^{-1}(\overline{M^{21}} + \overline{D^{21}})v_{22} = 1. \tag{29}$$

$$(I + \overline{D^{11}})\overline{v_{21}} - (\overline{M^{21}} + \overline{D^{21}})(I + D^{22})^{-1}[(M^{12} + D^{12})\overline{v_{21}} - 1] = 0. \tag{30}$$

By straightforward calculation, we get the following formulas for the derivatives of the operators $M^{ij},\ D^{ij}$:

$$\frac{2}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(M^{ij} + D^{ij}) = (M^{ij} + D^{ij})\overline{X}, \quad i \ne j, \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{2}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\overline{M^{ij}} + \overline{D^{ij}}) = C_{ij} - \overline{X}(\overline{M^{ij}} + \overline{D^{ij}}), \quad i \ne j, \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}D^{jj} = 0, \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{2}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\overline{D^{jj}} = C_j - \overline{X D^{jj}} + \overline{D^{jj}X}, \tag{34}$$

where X is the operator of multiplication by the independent variable, C_{ij}, C_j are integral functionals that are closely related to the entries of the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ introduced in (19):

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij}\phi = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus D} e^{-i\Re(\overline{\alpha}z)}\overline{h_{ij}}(\alpha,\alpha)\phi(\alpha)d\alpha_\Re d\alpha_\Im \\ +\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D}\int_{\partial D}\overline{d\varsigma d\varsigma' w(\varsigma,\varsigma')h_{ij}(\varsigma',\varsigma)}e^{\frac{-i}{2}(\overline{\varsigma}z+\varsigma'\overline{z})}\phi(\varsigma'), \\ C_j\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D}\int_{\partial D} d\varsigma'\overline{d\varsigma w(\varsigma,\varsigma')h_{jj}(\varsigma',\varsigma)}e^{\frac{-i}{2}(\overline{\varsigma}-\overline{\varsigma'})z}\phi(\varsigma'). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The following relation is an immediate consequence of (34):

$$\frac{2}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1} = -(I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1}C_2(I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1} + (I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1}\overline{X} - \overline{X}(I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1}. \tag{36}$$

Lets us differentiate (27) using formulas (31)-(34). We get

$$\begin{aligned} [(I+D^{11}) - (M^{21}+D^{21})(I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1}(\overline{M^{12}}+\overline{D^{12}})]\frac{\partial v_{11}}{\partial z} = \\ \frac{i}{2}(M^{21}+D^{21})(I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1}[-C_2(I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1}(\overline{M^{12}}+\overline{D^{12}})v_{11} + C_{12}v_{11}] = \\ \frac{i}{2}(M^{21}+D^{21})(I+\overline{D^{22}})^{-1}[C_2\overline{v_{12}} + C_{12}v_{11}]. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Note, that $c_0 := \frac{-i}{2}[C_2\overline{v_{12}} + C_{12}v_{11}]$ does not depend on k. Let us replace $\frac{\partial v_{11}}{\partial z}$ in equation (37) by v_{21} and omit the constant factor c_0 in its right-hand side. The resulting equation coincides with the equation obtained from (30) by complex conjugation. Thus

$$\frac{\partial v_{11}}{\partial z} = \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{12}}v_{21}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{Q}_{12} = \overline{c_0} = \frac{i}{2}\overline{[C_2\overline{v_{12}} + C_{12}v_{11}]}. \tag{38}$$

It is easy to see that this formula for Q_{12} coincides with the one given in (19).

The analysis for v_{12} is similar. We will differentiate (28) by taking the derivatives of each term separately:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(I+\overline{D^{22}})\overline{v_{12}} = \frac{2}{i}(I+\overline{D^{22}})\frac{\partial\overline{v_{12}}}{\partial z} + C_2\overline{v_{12}} - \overline{X}\overline{D^{22}}\overline{v_{12}} + \overline{D^{22}}\overline{X}\overline{v_{12}} = \\ (I+\overline{D^{22}})\left(\frac{2}{i}\frac{\partial\overline{v_{12}}}{\partial z} + \overline{X}\overline{v_{12}}\right) + C_2\overline{v_{12}} - \overline{X}(I+\overline{D^{22}})\overline{v_{12}}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\overline{(M^{12}+\overline{D^{12}})}(I+D^{11})^{-1}\left[(M^{21}+D^{21})\overline{v_{12}} - 1\right]\right] = \\ \frac{2}{i}(\overline{M^{12}}+\overline{D^{12}})(I+D^{11})^{-1}(M^{21}+D^{21})\frac{\partial\overline{v_{12}}}{\partial z} - C_{12}v_{11} + \overline{X}(\overline{M^{12}}+\overline{D^{12}})v_{11} \\ +(\overline{M^{12}}+\overline{D^{12}})(I+D^{11})^{-1}(M^{21}+D^{21})\overline{X}\overline{v_{12}}. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Here we used the following consequence of (25):

$$v_{11} = -(I + D^{11})^{-1}\left[(M^{21} + D^{21})\overline{v_{12}} - 1\right].$$

We multiply relations (39), (40) by $i/2$ and equate their right-hand sides (due to (28)). If we note that the last term in the right-hand side of (39) coincides with the term $\overline{X}(\overline{M^{12}} + \overline{D^{12}})v_{11}$ in (40) (due to (26)), then we arrive at the following equation

$$[(I + \overline{D^{22}}) + (\overline{M^{12}} + \overline{D^{12}})(I + D^{11})^{-1}(M^{21} + D^{21})]\left(\frac{\partial\overline{v_{12}}}{\partial z} + \frac{i}{2}\overline{X}\overline{v_{12}}\right) = c_0,$$

where $c_0 = \frac{-i}{2}[C_2\overline{v_{12}} + C_{12}v_{11}]$ does not depend on k (see (37)). Let us replace $\frac{\partial\overline{v_{12}}}{\partial z} + \frac{i}{2}\overline{X}\overline{v_{12}}$ in the latter equation by $\overline{v_{22}}$. If we also divide its right-hand side by c_0, then the resulting equation will coincide with the equation obtained from (29) by complex conjugation. Hence,

$$\left(\frac{\partial\overline{v_{12}}}{\partial z} + \frac{i}{2}\overline{X}\overline{v_{12}}\right) = \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{12}}\overline{v_{22}}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{Q}_{12} = \overline{c_0} = \frac{i}{2}\overline{[C_2\overline{v_{12}} + C_{12}v_{11}]}. \tag{41}$$

Similarly, we show that

$$\frac{\partial v_{22}}{\partial z} = \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{21}}v_{12}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{Q}_{21} = \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{-ik}{2}v_{21}, \tag{42}$$

and finally

$$\left(\frac{\partial\overline{v_{21}}}{\partial z} + \frac{i\overline{X}}{2}\overline{v_{21}}\right) = \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{21}}\overline{v_{11}}. \tag{43}$$

In order to complete the proof of (20), it remains only to note that equations (38), (41), (43), and (42) for v can be rewritten in the form $\overline{\partial}\psi = Q\overline{\psi}$ using the relation between v and ψ provided in the statement of the lemma.

Let us prove (21). From (38) and (42), it follows that

$$\partial\Pi^d(v - 1) = \Pi^d(\overline{Q}v). \tag{44}$$

We multiply both sides of the above equation by k and pass to the limit as $k \to \infty$ using (22). This implies

$$\partial\Pi^d\mathcal{C}v = 2i\overline{Q}Q. \tag{45}$$

Applying $\overline{\partial}$ and using $\partial\overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial}\partial$, we get

$$\partial\left[\frac{1}{2i}\overline{\partial}\Pi^d\mathcal{C}v\right] = \overline{\partial}(\overline{Q}Q).$$

□

4 Derivation of the compatibility condition

A symmetry of the potential Q and scattering data h will play an important role in this section. So, we start the section with two simple lemmas establishing the relation between those symmetries.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (16) hold and*

$$h_{11}(\varsigma,k)=h_{22}(\varsigma,k),\quad h_{12}(\varsigma,k)=\pm h_{21}(\varsigma,k), \tag{46}$$

for all the pairs $(\varsigma,k)=(k,k),\ k\in\mathbb{C}\backslash D$ *or* $(\varsigma,k)\in\partial D\times\partial D$. *Then for all* $z\in\mathbb{R}^2$ *such that the kernel of* $(I+T_z)$ *is trivial, the following symmetry relations are valid for the matrix* Q *defined in (19) and solution* v *of (18):*

$$Q_{12}(z)=\pm Q_{21}(z), \tag{47}$$

$$v_{11}=v_{22},\quad v_{12}=\pm v_{21}. \tag{48}$$

(The converse statement is given in Lemma 4.2.)

Proof. It is enough to prove (48). Then (47) follows from (22). Note that (23) and (24) imply that

$$D^{11}=D^{22},\quad D^{12}=\pm D^{21},\quad M^{12}=\pm M^{21}. \tag{49}$$

We rewrite equations (28), (30) in the form

$$[(I+\overline{D^{22}})-(M^{12}+D^{12})(I+D^{11})^{-1}(\overline{M^{21}}+\overline{D^{21}})]\overline{v_{12}}=-(M^{12}+D^{12})(I+D^{11})^{-1}1,$$

$$[(I+\overline{D^{11}})-(M^{21}+D^{21})(I+D^{22})^{-1}(\overline{M^{12}}+\overline{D^{12}})]\overline{v_{21}}=-(M^{21}+D^{21})(I+D^{22})^{-1}1.$$

From (49) it follows that the coefficients for $v_{12},\ v_{21}$ in these equations are equal to each other, and the right-hand sides differ by the factor ±1. This justifies the second relation in (48). The first relation can be proved similarly using (27), (29).

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $Q_{12}=\pm Q_{21}\in L^p_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^2),\ p>2$, *be compactly supported functions. Then*

$$h_{11}=h_{22},\quad h_{12}=\pm h_{21}$$

for $k\notin\mathcal{E}$, *where* $h=\{h_{ij}(\varsigma,k)\},\ \varsigma\in\mathbb{C},\ j=1,2$, *is the generalized scattering data defined in (8), and* $\mathcal{E}$ *is the set of exceptional points.*

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_k\varphi(z)=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\varphi(w)\frac{e^{-i\Re(\overline{k}w)}dw_Rdw_I}{z-w}. \tag{50}$$

Then the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (5) after substitution (6) can be rewritten in the following form (see also [16, (1.6)]):

$$\mu_{11}=1+\mathcal{L}_k[Q_{12}(z')\overline{\mu_{21}}(z',k)],\quad \mu_{21}=\mathcal{L}_k[Q_{21}(z')\overline{\mu_{11}}(z',k)],$$
$$\mu_{22}=1+\mathcal{L}_k[Q_{21}(z')\overline{\mu_{12}}(z',k)],\quad \mu_{12}=\mathcal{L}_k[Q_{12}(z')\overline{\mu_{22}}(z',k)].$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_{11} = 1 + \mathcal{L}_k[Q_{12}(z')\overline{\mathcal{L}_k}[\overline{Q_{21}}(z')\mu_{11}(z',k)]],$$
$$\mu_{21} = \mathcal{L}_k\left[Q_{21}(z')\left(1 + \overline{\mathcal{L}_k}[\overline{Q_{12}}(z')\mu_{21}(z',k)]\right)\right],$$

and

$$\mu_{22} = 1 + \mathcal{L}_k[Q_{21}(z')\overline{\mathcal{L}_k}[\overline{Q_{12}}(z')\mu_{22}(z',k)]],$$
$$\mu_{12} = \mathcal{L}_k\left[Q_{12}(z')\left(1 + \overline{\mathcal{L}_k}[\overline{Q_{21}}(z')\mu_{12}(z',k)]\right)\right].$$

So, we obtain

$$\mu_{11} = \mu_{22}, \quad \mu_{12} = \pm\mu_{21}.$$

Now Lemma 4.2 follows from (8).

□

Consider time-independent scattering data with the following symmetry:

$$h_{11}(\varsigma,k) = h_{22}(\varsigma,k), \quad h_{12}(\varsigma,k) = \pm h_{21}(\varsigma,k), \tag{51}$$

for all pairs $(\varsigma,k) \in \partial D \times \partial D$ and for $(\varsigma,k) = (k,k),\ k \in \mathbb{C}\backslash D$. Using this matrix h, we define the following time dependent data

$$h(\varsigma',\varsigma,t) := e^{-t(\varsigma^2-\overline{\varsigma}'^2)/2}\Pi^o h(\varsigma',\varsigma) + e^{-t(\overline{\varsigma}^2-\overline{\varsigma}'^2)/2}\Pi^d h(\varsigma',\varsigma),\ \varsigma \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathcal{E},\ t \geq 0, \tag{52}$$

and then apply Lemma 3.1 to construct, for each $t \geq 0$, the solution $v = v(z,k,t)$ of equation (18) and the matrix $Q = Q^t(z)$ defined in terms of $\mathcal{C}$ in (19). The symmetry condition (51) implies that the same symmetry condition holds for function (52) for each $t > 0$, and therefore, from Lemma 4.1 it follows that

$$Q^t_{12}(z) = \pm Q^t_{21}(z) =: q(z,t), \tag{53}$$

and that (48) holds. We denote by φ the diagonal entries of the matrix $\Phi = \frac{1}{2i}\overline{\partial}\Pi^d\mathcal{C}v$ defined in (21). These entries are equal due to (48) and (22). The proof of the latter statement requires changing the order of the operator $\overline{\partial}$ and the limit in (22). One can give an elementary alternative proof using the definition (19) of matrix $\mathcal{C}$ and the symmetries of h and v. Thus (21) takes the form

$$\partial\varphi = \overline{\partial}|q|^2. \tag{54}$$

We will show that v satisfies the compatibility conditions (see [16, (1.26)], or Section 5):

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + 2\left[\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial\overline{z}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2}\right] - 2ik\frac{\partial v}{\partial\overline{z}} + A(\Pi^d v) + \overline{A}(\Pi^o v) = 0, \tag{55}$$

where the entries of the matrix $A = A(z,t)$ are

$$A_{12} = \pm A_{21} = -4\overline{\partial}q, \tag{56}$$
$$A_{11} = A_{22} = \mp 4\varphi. \tag{57}$$

The operator (17) with h depending on t will be denoted by $T_{z,t}$ or just by T.

Lemma 4.3. *Let (16) hold and let z, t be such that the kernel of $I + T_{z,t}$ is trivial. Then (55) holds in the classical sense.*

Remark. We will prove later that function q defined by (53) satisfies the DSII equation.

Proof. As earlier, we split operator (17) as follows: $T = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{D}^o + \mathcal{D}^d$, where $\mathcal{M}$ involves integration over $\mathbb{C}\backslash D$, and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^o + \mathcal{D}^d$ involves integration over ∂D. Similarly, we split $\mathcal{C}v$ in three natural terms

$$\mathcal{C}v = (\mathcal{C}^1 + \mathcal{C}^o + \mathcal{C}^d)v = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}\backslash D} e^{i(\overline{\varsigma}z + \overline{z}\varsigma)/2}\overline{v}(\varsigma)\Pi^o h(\varsigma,\varsigma,t)d\varsigma_R d\varsigma_I$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D} d\varsigma \int_{\partial D}[e^{i/2(\varsigma\overline{z}+\overline{\varsigma'}z)}\overline{v^-(\varsigma')}\Pi^o + e^{i/2(\varsigma-\varsigma')\overline{z}}v^-(\varsigma')\Pi^d\mathbf{C}]\left[\mathrm{Ln}\frac{\overline{\varsigma'}-\overline{\varsigma}}{\overline{\varsigma'}-\overline{k}_0}h(\varsigma',\varsigma,t)d\varsigma'\right]. \quad (58)$$

Warning. We are using the standard notation $T\phi$ for the action of an operator T on a matrix ϕ. However, one must keep in mind that the products of matrices in the integrands in (17) are taken in an unusual order with the factor ϕ being on the left.

We will use the fact that

$$\Pi^d[(I+T)^{-1}(iI)] = i[\Pi^d(I+T)^{-1}I] \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^o[(I+T)^{-1}(iI)] = -i\Pi^o[(I+T)^{-1}I]. \quad (59)$$

One can justify (59) by checking that the elements of the matrix $i\Pi^d v - i\Pi^o v$ satisfy (27)-(30) if the latter equations are multiplied by i.

Since $(I+T)v = I$ and matrix A does not depend on k, it follows from (59) and the warning above that

$$(I+T)(A(\Pi^d v) + \overline{A}(\Pi^o v)) = A.$$

Since the kernel of the operator $I+T$ is trivial, one can check that $I+T$ applied to the left-hand side of (55) is zero, instead of proving (55). Thus, in order to prove Lemma 4.3, it is enough to show that

$$(I+T)(v_t + 2Lv - 2ikv_z) + A = 0, \quad Lv := v_{\overline{z}z} + v_{zz} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{xx} - v_{yy}). \quad (60)$$

Applying operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and L to the relation $(I+T)v = I$, we obtain that

$$(I+T)v_t = -T_t v, \quad (I+T)Lv = -(LT)v - (T_x v_x - T_y v_y).$$

This allows us to rewrite (60) in the form

$$-(T_t + 2T_{\overline{z}z} + 2T_{zz})v - 2(T_x v_x - T_y v_y) - (I+T)(2ikv_{\overline{z}}) + A = 0. \quad (61)$$

We split the proof of (61) into several steps. Note that by a straightforward calculation (using (17) and formula (19) for $\mathcal{C}$), one can verify that

$$(T_t + 2T_{\overline{z}z} + 2T_{zz} - 2ikT_{\overline{z}})v = 2i\mathcal{C}_{\overline{z}}v. \quad (62)$$

Recall that T is not linear with respect to multiplication by i, so the term $(I+T)(2ikv_z)$ in (61) needs an accurate treatment. We will show that

$$-2(T_xv_x - T_yv_y) - (I+T)(2ikv_{\overline{z}}) = 2ikT_{\overline{z}}v - 2i(\mathcal{C}^1v_z + \mathcal{C}^ov_z + \mathcal{C}^dv_{\overline{z}}). \tag{63}$$

It will be also shown that

$$A = 2i\overline{\partial}(\mathcal{C}v). \tag{64}$$

Since both functions v and $\overline{v}$ are present in integrands in the right-hand side of (58), formula (64) is equivalent to $A = 2i(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{z}}v + \mathcal{C}^1v_z + \mathcal{C}^ov_z + \mathcal{C}^dv_{\overline{z}})$. Hence, (62)-(64) justify (61), and therefore Lemma 4.3 will be proved as soon as (63), (64) are established.

The equality of the diagonal terms in (64) follows from (57) and the definition of φ. In order to verify the validity of (64) for the non-diagonal elements, we substitute the left-hand side of (53) for q in (56) and use the relation $2i\Pi^o(\mathcal{C}v) = -4\Pi^oQ$, which follows from (22). Thus (64) is proved, and it remains only to prove (63).

We apply operator $\overline{\partial}$ to (18) and then multiply the resulting equation by $-2ik$:

$$-2ikv_{\overline{z}} - 2ik((\mathcal{M}+\mathcal{D}^o)v_z + \mathcal{D}^dv_{\overline{z}}) = -2ik(\mathcal{M}_{\overline{z}}v + \mathcal{D}^o_{\overline{z}}v + \mathcal{D}^d_{\overline{z}}v). \tag{65}$$

Now we will rearrange each term in (65) separately, keeping in mind that k is an independent variable, and multiplication by k does not commute with the operators above. The rearrangement will involve an additional term $T_xv_x - T_yv_y$.

Operator $\mathcal{M}$. We will use the fact that $i\mathcal{M}(\cdot) = \mathcal{M}(-i\cdot)$. We have

$$\mathcal{M}(2i\overline{k}v_z) = \mathcal{M}((i\Re k + \Im k)(v_x - iv_y)) = \mathcal{M}(i(\Re kv_x - \Im kv_y) + (\Im kv_x + \Re kv_y)), \tag{66}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(2ikv_{\overline{z}}) = \mathcal{M}(i(\Re kv_x - \Im kv_y) - (\Im kv_x + \Re kv_y)), \tag{67}$$

$$-\mathcal{M}_xv_x + \mathcal{M}_yv_y = \mathcal{M}(i(\Re kv_x - \Im kv_y)). \tag{68}$$

From (66)-(68) it follows that

$$2[-\mathcal{M}_xv_x + \mathcal{M}_yv_y] - \mathcal{M}(2ikv_{\overline{z}}) = \mathcal{M}(2i\overline{k}v_z) = -2ik\mathcal{M}v_z - 2i\mathcal{C}^1v_z. \tag{69}$$

Operator $\mathcal{D}^o$. Similar formulas hold for $\mathcal{D}^o$. We will use the fact that $i\mathcal{D}^o(\cdot) = \mathcal{D}^o(-i\cdot)$. The exponent in the term of (17) that corresponds to $\mathcal{D}^o$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma\overline{z} + \overline{\varsigma'}z) = ix\frac{\varsigma+\overline{\varsigma'}}{2} + y\frac{\varsigma-\overline{\varsigma'}}{2}.$$

One can check that

$$2[-\mathcal{D}^o_xv_x + \mathcal{D}^o_yv_y] = -ik\mathcal{D}^ov_x + \mathcal{D}^o(ikv_x) - \mathcal{D}^o(kv_y) + k\mathcal{D}^ov_y + [-i\mathcal{C}^ov_x + \mathcal{C}^ov_y].$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{D}^o(2ikv_{\overline{z}}) = \mathcal{D}^o(ikv_x - kv_y).$$

From the last two equalities it follows that

$$2[-\mathcal{D}^o_x v_x + \mathcal{D}^o_y v_y] - \mathcal{D}^o(2ikv_{\overline{z}}) = [-i\mathcal{C}^o v_x + \mathcal{C}^o v_y] - ik\mathcal{D}^o v_x + k\mathcal{D}^o v_y$$
$$= -i[\mathcal{C}^o v_x + i\mathcal{C}^o v_y)] - ik(\mathcal{D}^o v_x + i\mathcal{D}^o v_y) = -i\mathcal{C}^o(v_x - iv_y) - ik\mathcal{D}^o(v_x - iv_y)$$
$$= -2i\mathcal{C}^o v_z - 2ik\mathcal{D}^o v_z. \qquad (70)$$

Operator $\mathcal{D}^d$. Let us rearrange the exponent in the term of (17) that corresponds to $\mathcal{D}^d$:

$$\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma - \varsigma')\overline{z} = ix\frac{\varsigma - \varsigma'}{2} + y\frac{\varsigma - \varsigma'}{2}.$$

Then

$$2[-\mathcal{D}^d_x v_x + \mathcal{D}^d_y v_y] = -ik\mathcal{D}^d v_x + \mathcal{D}^d(ikv_x) - \mathcal{D}^d(kv_y) + k\mathcal{D}^d v_y + [i\mathcal{C}^d v_x - \mathcal{C}^d v_y].$$

Note also that

$$\mathcal{D}^d(2ikv_{\overline{z}}) = \mathcal{D}^d(ikv_x - kv_y).$$

From the last two equalities we get

$$2[-\mathcal{D}^d_x v_x + \mathcal{D}^d_y v_y] - \mathcal{D}^d(2ikv_{\overline{z}}) = [-i\mathcal{C}^d v_x + \mathcal{C}^d v_y] - ik\mathcal{D}^d v_x + k\mathcal{D}^d v_y$$
$$= -i(\mathcal{C}^d v_x + i\mathcal{C}^d v_y) - ik(\mathcal{D}^d v_x + i\mathcal{D}^d v_y) = -i\mathcal{C}^d(v_x + iv_y) - ik\mathcal{D}^d(v_x + iv_y))$$
$$= -2i\mathcal{C}^d v_{\overline{z}} - 2ik\mathcal{D}^d v_{\overline{z}}. \qquad (71)$$

Now (63) follows from (65) combined with (69), (70), and (71).

□

5 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We start with a theorem showing that the compatibility condition for the solution v of (13) implies that the functions q, φ defined by v satisfy the first two relations of the DSII system (2):

$$q_t = 2(\overline{\partial}^2 - \partial^2)q \pm 4q(\overline{\varphi} - \varphi), \quad \partial\varphi = \overline{\partial}|q|^2. \qquad (72)$$

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that the scattering data h depends on $t \geq 0$ and, for each t, satisfies (16) and the symmetry relations (46). Let the solution $v = v_{z,t}$ of equation (13) satisfy the compatibility condition (55) in a neighbourhood of a point (z_0, t_0), $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $t_0 \geq 0$. Then the function q defined in (53) and the diagonal elements φ of the matrix Φ satisfy (72) in the same neighbourhood of (z_0, t_0).*

Proof. One needs to prove only the first relation in (72), since the second one was proved in (54).

We take the complex conjugate of (43), differentiate it in t, and replace the derivatives of v_{ij} using (55). We get

$$\begin{aligned}(\overline{\partial}-\frac{ik}{2})\left(-2(\partial^2+\overline{\partial^2})v_{21}+2ik\overline{\partial}v_{21}\pm 4\overline{\partial}qv_{11}\pm 4\overline{\varphi}v_{21}\right)\\ =\pm q_t v_{11}\pm q\left(-2(\partial^2+\overline{\partial^2})v_{11}+2ik\overline{\partial}v_{11}\pm 4\varphi v_{11}+4\partial\overline{q}v_{21}\right),\quad k\in\mathcal{C}\setminus D.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the theorem will be proved if we show that

$$\begin{aligned}(\overline{\partial}-\frac{ik}{2})\left(-2(\partial^2+\overline{\partial^2})v_{21}+2ik\overline{\partial}v_{21}\pm 4\overline{\partial}qv_{11}\pm 4\overline{\varphi}v_{21}\right)\\ =\pm\left(2(\overline{\partial}^2-\partial^2)q\pm 4q(\overline{\varphi}-\varphi)\right)v_{11}\\ \pm q\left(-2(\partial^2+\overline{\partial^2})v_{11}+2ik\overline{\partial}v_{11}\pm 4\varphi v_{11}+4\partial\overline{q}v_{21}\right),\quad k\in\mathcal{C}\setminus D.\end{aligned}\tag{73}$$

Indeed, the difference between the last two equations is equal to the difference between the left and right hand sides in the first of equations (72) multiplied by $\pm v_{11}$. Thus (73) implies that either (72) holds or $v_{11}=0$. We note that the terms in (72) do not depend on k, and $v_{11}\to 1$ as $|k|\to\infty$. Thus the validity of (73) implies (72).

We apply the operator $\overline{\partial}-\frac{ik}{2}$ to all the terms in the left-hand side of (73) and then open all the brackets except the ones in the expression $(\overline{\partial}-\frac{ik}{2})$. Then the left-hand side will have five terms, which will be denoted by A^i, and the second and third lines in (73) will have four terms $B^i, 1\le i\le 4$, and five terms $C^i, 1\le i\le 5$, respectively. Thus we need to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5}A^i=\sum_{i=1}^{4}B^i+\sum_{i=1}^{5}C^i.\tag{74}$$

We apply the complex conjugation to equation (43). Using the resulting equation, we obtain

$$A^1=-2\partial^2(\pm qv_{11})=\mp 2(\partial^2 q)v_{11}\mp 4(\partial q)\partial v_{11}\mp 2q(\partial^2 v_{11})=:\sum_{i=1}^{3}A_i^1.$$

$$A^2=-2\overline{\partial}^2(\pm qv_{11})=\mp 2(\overline{\partial}^2 q)v_{11}\mp 4(\overline{\partial}q)\overline{\partial}v_{11}\mp 2q(\overline{\partial}^2 v_{11})=:\sum_{i=1}^{3}A_i^2.$$

$$A^3=2ik\overline{\partial}(\pm qv_{11})=\pm 2ik(\overline{\partial}q)v_{11}\pm 2ikq(\overline{\partial}v_{11})=:A_1^3+A_2^3.$$

$$A^4=\pm 4(\overline{\partial}-\frac{ik}{2})(\overline{\partial}qv_{11})=\pm 4((\overline{\partial}-\frac{ik}{2})\overline{\partial}q)v_{11}\pm 4(\overline{\partial}q)(\overline{\partial}v_{11})=:A_1^4+A_2^4.$$

$$A_1^4=\pm 4(\overline{\partial}^2 q)v_{11}\mp 2ik\overline{\partial}qv_{11}=:A_{1,1}^4+A_{1,2}^4.$$

$$A^5=\pm 4(\overline{\partial}-\frac{ik}{2})(\overline{\varphi}v_{21})=\pm 4(\overline{\partial}\overline{\varphi})v_{21}\pm 4\overline{\varphi}qv_{11}=:A_1^5+A_2^5,$$

where, due to (54),

$$A_1^5 = \pm 4v_{21}\partial|q|^2 =: \pm 4v_{21}(q\partial\overline{q} + \overline{q}\partial q) = A_{1,1}^5 + A_{1,2}^5.$$

From (38) it follows that $A_2^1 + A_{1,2}^5 = 0$. Other relations below can be easily checked. Together, they prove (74).

$$A_1^1 = B^2, \quad A_3^1 + A_3^2 = C^1 + C^2, \quad A_1^2 + A_{1,1}^4 = B^1, \quad A_2^2 + A_2^4 = 0,$$
$$A_1^3 + A_{1,2}^4 = 0, \quad A_2^3 = C^3, \quad A_{1,1}^5 = C^5, \quad A_2^5 = B^3, \quad B^4 + C^4 = 0.$$

□

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, we will need the following statement.

Lemma 5.2. *(***Sung [16],[17]***). If $q_0 \in W^{n,\infty}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then $h_0(\varsigma, k)$ is a bounded continuous function on $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}\backslash D)$, and*

$$k_1^{\beta_1} k_2^{\beta_2} \Pi^o h_0(k,k) \in C_0(\mathbb{C}\backslash D), \ |\beta| \le n, \ k = (k_1, k_2),$$

where $C_0(\mathbb{C}\backslash D)$ is the space of continuous matrix-functions with zero limit at infinity.

Proof. We claim that

$$[\partial_x^{\beta_1}\partial_y^{\beta_2}(v - I)](z,k) \in C_0(\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}\backslash D)), \quad |\beta| \le n. \tag{75}$$

This estimate was proved in [16, Lemma 2.16] for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ in the case when $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, but the proof remains the same when $k \in \mathbb{C}\backslash D$, since there are no exceptional points in $\mathbb{C}\backslash D$.

We write formula (8), with ψ expressed in terms of μ, using (6), and split μ into two terms $\overline{\mu}(z,k) = 1 + (\overline{\mu}(z,k) - 1)$. This implies

$$h_0(k,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{R^2} e^{\frac{-i\Re(\overline{k}z)}{2}} Q^0(z)dxdy + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{R^2} e^{\frac{-i\Re(\overline{k}z)}{2}} Q^0(z)(\overline{\mu}(z,k)-1)dxdy, \ k \notin \mathcal{E}.$$

The first term on the right is the Fourier transform of Q^0. Thus its decay at infinity follows from the smoothness of Q^0. The decay of the second term can be also obtained by integration by parts, since v and μ are related via (see (20))

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mu_{11}}(z,k) & \mu_{12}(z,k)e^{i(\overline{k}z + \overline{z}k)/2} \\ \mu_{21}(z,k)e^{i(\overline{k}z+\overline{z}k)/2} & \overline{\mu_{22}}(z,k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}\backslash D,$$

and therefore (75) is valid if v is replaced by $\overline{\mu}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Lemma 4.3 of [12] provides the compactness of $T = T_{z,t}$ in the space $\mathcal{H}^s$, $s > 2$, under the assumption that condition (16) holds without the factor $|\varsigma|^3$ in the second part of the condition. We will call this modification of (16) by (16a). Note also that (16a) was used in [12] only for studying the first term, $T^{(1)}$, in the formula that defines T. It is easy to see from Lemma 5.2 and (10) that (16a) holds for the

analogue of h after the integrand of $T^{(1)}$ is differentiated once in t or at most three times in x, y. Hence the compactness of $T_{z,t}$ and its derivatives stated in the first item of the theorem follows from Lemma 4.3 of [12]. The inclusion $T_{z,t}I \in \mathcal{H}^s,\ s > 2$, is due to the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, more details can be found in Lemma 4.2 of [12].

From Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 5.1 it follows that the functions q, φ defined in (12) satisfy the first two relations of (2) when $(z, t) \in \omega$. Let us justify the validity of the initial condition (2). Note that $q(x, 0)$ is given by (12) and coincides with the elements q_{12} of the matrix Q in (18) if the operator T_z in (18) is the same as in (9). If T_z is given by (9), then $q_{12} = q_0$ due to Theorem 2.1 from [12].

The third item of the theorem, i.e., that equation (12) is solvable in a neighborhood of each point (x_0, t_0) for generic potentials, is proved in Theorem 2.1 of [12] when $t = t_0$ is fixed. This proof remains valid when t is close to t_0. The validity of the last statement of the theorem is justified in Remark 2 after Theorem 2.1. of [12].

□

Acknowledgments. This paper would not have been written without productive consultations with R.G. Novikov. The authors are also grateful to Paula Cerejeira, Uwe Kahler, Anna Kazeykina and Jean-Claude Saut for discussions and kind support.

References

[1] Ablowitz M. J., Haberman R. (1975). Nonlinear evolution equations in two and three dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 1185-8.

[2] Arkadiev, V. A., Pogrebkov, A. K., Polivanov, M. C. (1989). Inverse scattering transform method and soliton solutions for Davey-Stewartson II equation. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 36(1), 189-197.

[3] R. Beals and R.R. Coifman. Multidimensional inverse scatterings and nonlinear partial differential equations. In F. Treves, editor, Pseudodifferential operators and applications, volume 43 of Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics, pages 45-70. Amer. Math. Soc., 1985.

[4] Beals R., Coifman R.R. The spectral problem for the Davey- Stewartson and Ishimori hierarchies. In Nonlinear evolution equations: Integrability and spectral methods, pages 15-23. Manchester University Press. 1988.

[5] Brown R. M., Uhlmann G. A. (1997). Uniqueness in the inverse conductivity problem for nonsmooth conductivities in two dimensions, Communications in partial differential equations, 22(5-6), 1009-1027.

[6] Cornille H. (1979). Solutions of the generalized nonlinear Schrodinger equation in two spatial dimensions. J. Math. Phys. 20 199-209.

[7] Davey, K. Stewartson, On three dimensional packets o f surface waves, Proc. Roy. London Soc. A, Vol.338 (1974), 101-110

[8] Fokas, A. S., Pelinovsky, D. E., Sulem, C. (2001). Interaction of lumps with a line soliton for the DSII equation. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 152, 189-198.

[9] Ghidaglia, J. M., Saut, J. C. (1990). On the initial value problem for the Davey-Stewartson systems. Nonlinearity, 3(2), 475.

[10] Hayashi, N., Saut, J. C. (1995). Global existence of small solutions to the Davey-Stewartson and the Ishimori systems. Differential and Integral Equations, 8(7), 1657-1675.

[11] Lakshtanov E., Novikov R., Vainberg B. (2015). A global Riemann-Hilbert problem for two-dimensional inverse scattering at fixed energy, Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Università di Trieste, accepted.

[12] Lakshtanov E., Vainberg B., (2015).On reconstruction of complex-valued once differentiable conductivities, arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08780.

[13] Morris H. C. (1977) Prolongation structures and nonlinear evolution equations in two spatial dimensions. 11. A generalized nonlinear Schrodinger equation J. Math. Phys. 18 285-88.

[14] R. G. Novikov, (1992). The Inverse Scattering Problem on a Fixed Energy Level for the Two-Dimensional Schrödinger Operator, J. of Funct. Analysis, 103, 409-463.

[15] Perry, P. A. (2011). Global Well-Posedness and Long-time Asymptotics for the Defocussing Davey-Stewartson II Equation in $H^{1,1}(R^2)$. arXiv preprint arXiv:1110.5589.

[16] Sung, L. Y. (1994). An Inverse Scattering Transform for the Davey-Stewartson-II Equations, I. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 183(1), 121-154.

[17] Sung, L. Y. (1994). An Inverse Scattering Transform for the Davey-Stewartson II Equations II. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 183(2), 289-325.

[18] Sung, L. Y. (1994). An inverse scattering transform for the Davey-Stewartson II equations, III. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 183(3), 477-494.